Thomas G. Frey<sup>1</sup>, Chandra Alduenda<sup>2</sup>, Rebecca Chamberlain<sup>2</sup>, Chris Estrada<sup>3</sup>, Kristine Fisher<sup>2</sup>, Nathaniel Gilman<sup>2</sup>, Alex Hendrix<sup>2</sup>, Cari Ann Pendergrass<sup>2</sup>

- 1. California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo
  - 2. The Evergreen State College, Olympia, Washington
    - 3. Allan Hancock College, Santa Maria, California

**Abstract**: A team of students, a member of the faculty at The Evergreen State College and two amateur astronomers conducted separation and position angle measurements of the double star STTA 127 AB at the 2010 Oregon Star Party east of Prinville, Oregon. Percent differences between literature and observed values for separation and position angle were less than 1.5%. Field rotation could account for inaccuracy in the position angle due to a long drift time across the astrometric eyepiece. Position angle observations by two teams studying the same star system were carried out allowing the comparisons between altazimuth and equatorially mounted telescopes.

### Introduction

A group of 14 students and their instructor from The Evergreen State College (TESC) in Olympia, Washington, participated in what may be the first scientific research on double stars at the nationally recognized Oregon Star Party (OSP), held from August 11-15, 2010. Some of the 14 students had just finished a summer research workshop at Pine Mountain Observatory (PMO) near Bend, Oregon, the previous weekend. All of the students at PMO were new to astrometric research at PMO and were ready to continue their double star observations at the OSP. The students were split into two teams, A and B.

Students Fisher, Hendrix, Pendergrass, Gilman, and Alduenda joined their instructor, Chamberlain, along with team leaders Frey and Estrada (Team A, Figure 1) in observing the optical double star, STTA 127 AB, in the constellation Draco. Team B from TESC, lead by Jo Johnson, studied the double star STF 1919. The alt-az telescope used for measure-



**Figure 1**: The Evergreen State College team. Front Row: Rebecca Chamberlain, Kristine Fisher, Thomas Frey. Back Row: Alex Hendrix, Cari Ann Pendergrass, Nathaniel Gilman, Chandra Alduenda, Chris Estrada

Table 1: Scale Constant Determination.

Star	Bess. epoch	Declin.	#Obs	AvDrift time(sec)	Std dev	Mean error	Scale constant
Dubhe	B2010.613	61.75°	17	85.87	0.52	0.13	10.19

urements were taken. The data was analyzed and each student was assigned a topic to write up for the research paper.

#### Locale

Star Party (OSP), held each summer in the Ochoco in using the alt-az Newtonian telescope, a well-National Forest about 35 miles east of Prineville. Ore- studied double star was chosen. The double star segon. The OSP was founded in 1987 and routinely has lected was STTA 127 AB in the constellation Draco, 700-800 astronomers in attendance. The elevation at originally studied in 1844, then having a position an-OSP is about 5000 feet and is located at 44.2935° N gle of 68 degrees and separation of 71.2 arc seconds. and 120.1332° W. The surrounding area is notably The right ascension and declination of the primary high desert so the air is very dry, which resulted in star STTA 127 A is 13h 50m 59.4s and +68° 18m excellent seeing and transparency. This year's OSP 55.6s, respectively. The most recent study published was scheduled during the Perseid meteor shower al- in the Washington Double Star (WDS) catalog was lowing participants an exceptional opportunity to see done in 1999, where the position angle was 63 degrees this event under dark skies. And, since the New Moon and the separation was 85.8 arc seconds. The primary appeared on August 10<sup>th</sup>, the shower was very im- and secondary stars had magnitudes of 6.5 and 8.3, pressive.

### **Presentations**

by amateur astronomers during the long weekend. RA, -176; Dec., -058 and RA, -095; Dec., +013, respec-The TESC double star research teams gave introduc- tively (SIMBAD). Such divergent proper motions indiseparation and position angles with an astrometric compile data over many years. eyepiece. This was followed by a presentation on data analysis and how to write an astronomical research Separation Measurements of STTA 127 paper. Following the observations and data reduction, AB the TESC students individually presented the results of their investigations and gave their personal views of their research experience.

# Calibration of the Celestron Astrometric Eyepiece

The linear scale on the Celestron 12.5 mm astrodetermine the scale constant in arc seconds per divi- many times. This method of moving the stars to new

ments by Team A was an 18" Obsession. The Ce- sources (Frey, 2008). The reference star Dubhe in Urlestron 12.5 mm illuminated astrometric eyepiece sae Majoris was used for this calibration, because its (graciously donated by Celestron to TESC) was cali- declination lies within the recommended 50-75 degree brated and then separation and position angle meas- range for calibration. The results are given in Table 1.

## Double Star STTA 127 AB

Once the scale constant had been determined, the 18-inch Obsession telescope was two-star aligned and the tracking motors were engaged. Because sev-The research was carried out at the 2010 Oregon eral of the observers on the team were inexperienced respectively. The primary star is a K2IV, a red subgiant and the secondary (SAO 16200) is a G5 Sun-like star. The proper motion vectors, given in milli-arc sec-The OSP routinely has a series of presentations ond per year, for the primary and secondary stars are tory presentations to the attendees on the nature of cate this is probably an optical double star, although double stars, the history of double star observation, to determine the optical or binary nature of a double the required instrumentation, and how to measure star with any certainty, it is important to collect and

The telescope was two-star aligned and the servo-motors engaged. The Celestron Micro Guide eyepiece was rotated until the central linear scale was parallel with the axis joining the two stars. The distances between the centers of the two stars was estimated to the nearest 0.1 divisions and recorded. Then, using the slow motion controls, the stars were metric eyepiece, divided into 60 equal divisions, must shifted to a new location along the linear scale, a new be calibrated for each telescope-eyepiece assembly to measurement was made, and the process repeated sion. This has been described at length in other locations each time was employed to negate any bias

Table 2: Separation Measurements for STTA 127 AB	
--	--

Double	Bess.	Lit.	#	SD/ME	Obs.	Lit.	%
star	Epoch	Epoch	Obs.		sep	sep	difference
STTA 127 AB	B2010.613	1999	17	0.37/0.08	87.0	85.8	1.41%

Table 3: Position Angle Measurements for STTA 127 AB

Double	Bess.	Lit.	#	SD/ME	Obs.	Lit.	%
star	Epoch	Epoch	Obs.		PA	PA	difference
STTA 127 AB	B2010.613	1999	17	1.32/0.31	61	63	-0.55%

error that might exist if the stars were continually the linear scale. Special effort was made to realign kept and measured at the same division marks. This the stars parallel to the scale and the eyepiece was aberrations across the field of view of the system. The position angle measurements for STTA 127 AB are results of the separation measurements for STTA 127 shown in Table 3. Position angles (PA) are given in AB are shown in Table 2. The SD/ME are the stan- degrees. The SD/ME are the standard deviation and dard deviation and standard error of the mean. The standard error of the mean. The percent difference is observed and literature separations are given in arc based on the difference between the observed and the seconds. The percent difference is based on the differ- most recent literature values. ence from the most recent literature value.

# **Position Angle Measurements of STTA** 127 AB

the drift method with an alt-az telescope has been and B agreed to check the data obtained by the oppotakes several attempts to accomplish. Second, a paral- values for both teams were in agreement. lax error can occur as the primary star crosses the outer protractor scale that can lead to an erroneous STTA 127 AB. Team A's initial study with 17 observadrift cycles are carried out and averaged to obtain the up the next night by a shorter second trial run simulbest mean measurement.

also removes systematic errors associated with optical tightened snuggly in the draw tube. The results of the

# **Cross Comparison of STTA 127 AB and STF 1919**

Since the observed position angle for Team A was The determination of the position angle using 2° less than the most recent literature value, Teams A described at length in a previous paper (Frey, 2008). site team. Team B, lead by Johnson, examined the Briefly, it involves disengaging the servo-motors so double star STF 1919 as Team A was observing STTA the telescope becomes a "push Dob". The double star 127 AB. Team B used a 6" Celestron NextStar is aligned with the linear scale and adjusted manu- Schmidt-Cassegrain on an equatorial mount; Team A ally so when it is released the primary star drifts was using an 18" Obsession, Newtonian telescope on through the central division (the 30<sup>th</sup> division) and an alt-az mount. Both teams used the same Celestron continues to drift to the outer protractor scales. There 12.5 mm Micro Guide eyepiece. Since we were making are two potential flaws in this method. First, the pri- observations at the same time and the same site with mary star of the double star is allowed to drift across completely different instruments, a brief comparison the middle 30 division mark on the linear scale. This of one another's target would be interesting. We only proper drift alignment is difficult to do and usually compared the position angles, because the separation

Table 4 shows the comparison of the data for position angle. To circumvent these problems, many tions was done on August 12, 2010 and was followed taneously with Team B's observation of STTA 127 AB. Due to field rotation, the eyepiece was continually The average position angle from the 17 and 6 observaadjusted so that the two stars remained aligned with tions taken by Team A are indicated and then

Table 4: Teams A&B Compare STTA 127 AB Position Angle

Team	Double Star	# Obs	Obs PA degs	Lit PA degs	SD/ME
A 1 <sup>st</sup> trial	STTA 127 AB	17	60.7 (61)	63	1.3/0.31
A 2 <sup>nd</sup> trial	STTA 127 AB	6	61.5 (62)	63	1.0/0.41
В	STTA 127 AB	5	63.0	63	1.0/0.4

Table 5: Teams A&B Compare STF 1919 Position Angle

Team	Double Star	# Obs	Obs PA degs	Lit PA degs	SD/ME
В	STF 1919	11	12.2	10	0.4/0.1
A	STF 1919	8	11.2	10	0.8/0.3

rounded to the nearest degree.

Table 5 shows the comparison of the data for STF 1919.

#### Results

than the literature. Since the standard deviation and the Obsession alt-az telescope was only 25 seconds, standard error of the mean statistics for both teams which is only 0.4 of the longer drift time for STTA 127 nomenon with alt-az mounted telescopes.

with an alt-azimuth mounted telescope. The difficulty (which were present) could have caused minor differlies not so much in the fact that the orientation of the ences in measurements, yet not enough to generate field is continually changing, but in the rate at which outliers. it is changing." For alt-azimuth telescopes the rate of field rotation reaches a maximum when the object is of the project. They felt more relaxed in doing the reat the zenith and at a minimum when the object is on search at the OSP after carrying out and completing the prime vertical, e.g., when it is due east or due two projects at PMO. Their motivation and interest west. A point source like a star at the center of the was peaked at PMO and they were eager to do further field appears unchanged over time while the stars observations at OSP. Their results were additionally toward the edge of the field rotate around the center.

the second night, the average drift time from the cen- three had never done astrometric measurements prior ter mark to the outer protractor was 64 seconds. Dur- to OSP. ing this drift time, the field of view could have under gone enough field rotation to affect the position angle. Acknowledgements

Further studies and verification on field rotation involving alt-az telescopes are being performed, to express their thanks to Russ Genet and Thomas The results of these inquiries will be valuable for all Smith for reviewing this paper and for their excellent

double star investigations with alt-az mounts. These studies will compare the mathematically calculated rotational change with the observed rotation for an alt-az telescope.

For STF 1919, the observed position angle for For STTA 127 AB, Team B's observed position both teams was greater than the literature value. angle results corresponded exactly with the WDS lit- Unlike the 64 second drift time observed by Team A erature value, where as Team A's values were less for STTA 127 AB, the drift time for STF 1919 with were very close to one another, the difference between AB and less chance for field rotation to occur. Team the literature and observed position angle for Team A A's 8 observations were less precise than Team B's could be due to field rotation. This is a common phe- results indicated by a standard deviation of twice the amount. Yet the observed position angle of Team A Argyle (2004) notes "The continual changing was closer to the literature value. The observations of of the parallactic angle is known as field rotation and STF 1919 by teams A and B were done on consecutive it is the main difficulty in measuring double stars nights. Environmental factors such as wind gusts

The students expressed satisfaction on completion impressive because of the six students on the team, When Team A carried out the observation on only three had made previous measurements and

The team from The Evergreen State College want

suggestions. Our gratitude is also extended to Dave References Powell, Director of the Oregon Star Party 2010, Howard Knytych, Dawn Willard, and all the staff and volunteers at OSP for the invitation to attend and participate in this initial double star research at this Frey, Thomas G., Spring 2008, Journal of Double Star event. A special thanks goes to Danyal Medley at Celestron for the donation of a 12.5 mm Micro Guide astrometric eyepiece to Evergreen State College, and to Sarah Pederson (Dean), Theresa Aragon (Dean of TESC Summer School), and Peter Robinson (Director of Lab I and Lab II, and Science Technician at TESC), for purchasing an additional astrometric eyepiece. TheSkyX software: http://www.bisque.com/sc Our team also wants to recognize Team B's leader, Jo Johnson, and his team, Angel Camama, Nick Brasher, Miles Drake, and Miranda Smith, for their cooperation and assistance with the project. Finally, if it weren't for Russ Genet's initial efforts at contacting officials at OSP, none of this would have taken place.

- Argyle, Robert, Observing and Measuring Visual Double Stars, Springer, London, 2004.
- Observations, 3(2), p. 59-65.
- Mason, Brian. The Washington Double Star Catalog. July 2009. Astrometry Department, U.S. Naval Observatory. http://ad.usno.navy.mil/wds/ wds.html.

SIMBAD database: http://simbad.u-strasbg.fr/simbad/

Thomas Frey is a Professor Emeritus of Chemistry at California Polytechnic State University. He was a Team Leader at the Pine Mountain Workshop 2009, and the Principle Investigator of the double star group at the Pine Mountain Workshop in 2010. Chris Estrada was a Team Leader of a double star group at the Pine Mountain Workshop in 2010. Rebecca Chamberlain, is a Member of the Faculty at The Evergreen State College and teaches interdisciplinary programs that link the sciences, humanities, and the arts. She has taught Earth and Sky Sciences for Antioch University's Teacher Education Program, and has worked as the lead Science Interpreter in the Starlab Planetarium at the Pacific Science Center. Chandra Alduenda, Kristine Fisher, Nathaniel Gilman, Alex Hendrix, and Cari Ann Pendergrass are all students at The Evergreen State College.